

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/697,464	Applicant(s) MITCHELL ET AL.	
	Examiner Harry S. Hong	Art Unit 2614	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Harry S. Hong.

(3) _____.

(2) William M. Lee, Jr. (Reg. No. 26,935).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2009

Time: 2:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Potential 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims discussed:

1 and 3-12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Only in order to expedite prosecution, the applicants agreed to cancel claims 1 and 3-12. Also, claim 13 was amended only to clarify the recitation (grammar). Refer to the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)